RESEARCH ARTICLE

CELL BIOLOGY

The stress-sensing domain of activated IRE1 α forms helical filaments in narrow ER membrane tubes

Ngoc-Han Tran¹†, Stephen D. Carter²†, Ann De Mazière³, Avi Ashkenazi⁴, Judith Klumperman³, Peter Walter^{1,5}*, Grant J. Jensen^{2,6}*

The signaling network of the unfolded protein response (UPR) adjusts the protein-folding capacity of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) according to need. The most conserved UPR sensor, IRE1 α , spans the ER membrane and activates through oligomerization. IRE1 α oligomers accumulate in dynamic foci. We determined the in situ structure of IRE1 α foci by cryogenic correlated light and electron microscopy combined with electron cryo-tomography and complementary immuno–electron microscopy in mammalian cell lines. IRE1 α foci localized to a network of narrow anastomosing ER tubes (diameter, ~28 nm) with complex branching. The lumen of the tubes contained protein filaments, which were likely composed of arrays of IRE1 α lumenal domain dimers that were arranged in two intertwined, left-handed helices. This specialized ER subdomain may play a role in modulating IRE1 α signaling.

ost secreted and transmembrane proteins mature in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), which is a specialized protein-folding compartment (1). To ensure proper folding, a network of quality-control pathways, termed the unfolded protein response (UPR), monitors the ER's protein-folding status and adjusts its capacity (2). The three metazoan ER-resident UPR sensors [IRE1 (3, 4), PERK, and ATF6] activate in response to ER stress. UPR activation triggers corrective measures or, if the defect cannot be corrected, apoptosis (5). IRE1 activation initially provides cytoprotective outputs but then attenuates even under conditions of unmitigated ER stress (6). IRE1 attenuation predisposes the cell to apoptosis as a consequence of unopposed PERK signaling (7, 8). Maladaptive UPR signaling is a hallmark of many diseases, including cancer, diabetes, and neurodegeneration (9).

Mammalian IRE1 has two paralogs (10), IRE1 α and IRE1 β . IRE1 α is the major isoform expressed in most cell types. It is an ERtransmembrane protein bearing an ER stresssensing domain on the ER lumenal side and kinase and ribonuclease (RNase) effector domains on the cytosolic side (3, 4, 11). IRE1 α 's

*Corresponding author. Email: peter@walterlab.ucsf.edu (P.W.); grant_jensen@byu.edu (G.J.J.) lumenal domain (LD) is bound by the ERlumenal chaperone BiP, which dissociates upon ER stress (12). IRE1 α -LD then binds directly to accumulated unfolded proteins, which triggers its oligomerization (13, 14). Oligomerization of the LD drives the juxtaposition of IRE1a's cytosolic kinase and RNase domains. which activate after trans-autophosphorylation (15). IRE1a's activated RNase domain initiates the nonconventional splicing of its substrate XBP1 mRNA (16). Spliced XBP1 mRNA encodes the transcription factor XBP1s, which up-regulates hundreds of genes to restore ER homeostasis. A second consequence of IRE1 α RNase activation, termed regulated IRE1dependent mRNA decay (RIDD), is the selective degradation of mRNAs that reduces ER protein-folding burden and synergizes with XBP1s to alleviate ER stress (17).

Upon UPR induction, a fraction of IRE1 molecules oligomerize into large foci that are visible by fluorescence microscopy, which is consistent with IRE1's LD and cytosolic kinase and RNase domain dimers and higher-order oligomers that are observed in solution and crystal structures (18-22). Extensive mutagenesis of the interfaces predicted from the structural analyses validates their functional importance (14, 18, 19), and both oligomerization of the kinase and RNase domains in vitro and foci formation correlate with high enzymatic activity (22). IRE1a foci have complex morphology and dynamic behaviors (23, 24) and comprise two distinct populations of IRE1 α (24). A small fraction of clustered molecules rapidly exchanges with the pool of dispersed IRE1 α in the ER membrane, whereas most are diffusionally constrained in the cluster core until eventual foci dissolution. The molecular principles of IRE1a's different assembly states remain a mystery.

$\mbox{IRE1}\alpha$ oligomers localize to specialized ER subdomains

We applied cryogenic correlated light and electron microscopy combined with electron cryo-tomography (cryo-CLEM-ET) to determine the ultrastructure of IRE1 α foci in mammalian cells (N = 4; independent replicates). We used characterized stable cell lines that inducibly expressed fluorescently tagged human IRE1 α (I4, 24), which faithfully recapitulated IRE1 α function. We grew cells directly on electron microscopy grids, induced IRE1 α expression and ER stress, and plunge-froze the samples with added nanospheres positional markers at 77 K (25).

To localize IRE1 α foci, we imaged the frozen grids on a fluorescence light microscope fitted with a liquid nitrogen sample chamber (26). In initial studies with stressed cells expressing IRE1a-green fluorescent protein (GFP), we observed strong autofluorescence at 77 K (27) that hindered IRE1 α foci identification (fig. S1). To overcome this obstacle, we fused IRE1 α to the brighter fluorescent protein mNeonGreen (mNG). This refinement revealed spots that emitted much higher fluorescence in the green relative to red and blue channels (Fig. 1A), which were absent in control cells that expressed IRE1 α not fused to mNG (fig. S1). We used the ratio of green-to-red and green-to-blue fluorescence intensity to identify IRE1a-mNG foci reliably.

We next imaged IRE1a foci by cryo-CLEM-ET. We used nanospheres, grid features, and cell boundaries to locate the same IRE1 α foci with the electron microscope that we had identified by light microscopy and then recorded tilt series. Across nine tomograms obtained from mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), IRE1amNG foci consistently localized to specialized regions of the ER that displayed a network of notably narrow, anastomosing tubes (Fig. 1, B to D, and figs. S2 and S3) with an average (\pm SD) diameter of 28 \pm 3 nm. The tubes frequently connect with each other by three-way junctions and to surrounding ER structures, forming a topologically complex, continuous membrane surface (Fig. 1D). Unlike the surrounding ER, the tubes were devoid of bound ribosomes (fig. S4).

To obtain higher cryo-ET resolution, we used human osteosarcoma U2OS cells that expressed inducible IRE1 α -mNG (24), which contained more-expansive thin regions compared with MEFs. We imaged tilt series from eight IRE1 α mNG foci and again observed thin anastomosing tubes with characteristics that closely matched those in MEF-IRE1 α -mNG (Fig. 1, E and F, and figs. S4 to S6). Thus, IRE1 α foci localize to a highly specialized ER region, henceforth termed the "IRE1 α subdomain."

Orthogonal methods reveal IRE1 α subdomains

To further explore the IRE1 α subdomains, we performed conventional and immuno-electron

¹Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. ²Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA. ³Section Cell Biology, Center for Molecular Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands. ⁴Cancer Immunology, Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, USA.

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

microscopy on human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cell-IRE1α-GFP (*19*). Electron micrographs of Epon-embedded thin sections of stressed cells—but not unstressed controls—exhibited membrane tube networks with topology that was comparable to that seen by cryo-CLEM-ET (fig. S7). These tubes stained more strongly in their lumenal space than in the surrounding ER, which suggested a high protein density.

We next performed immunogold labeling of ultrathin HEK293-IRE1a-GFP cryosections. In nonstressed cells, gold particles that were specific to IRE1a-GFP sparsely labeled large regions of the cell with visible ER (Fig. 2, A and A', and fig. S8). In stressed cells, clusters of gold particles labeled regions of much higher membrane complexity, which showed longitudinal and transverse cross sections of ~28-nm membrane tubes (Fig. 2, B, B', and E to F'; and fig. S8). Quantification of interparticle distances revealed a population of clustered gold particles in stressed cells that was absent in nonstressed cells (Fig. 2, C and D). Notably, in transverse cross sections, we observed a distinct circular lumenal density inside the membrane tubes (Fig. 2, F and F').

$\mbox{IRE1}\alpha$ subdomains contain lumenal helical filaments

We likewise observed regular densities in the lumen of the IRE1a subdomain tubes in cryotomograms, which we interpret as oligomers of IRE1a-LD. In longitudinal sections of MEFderived tomograms, the lumenal densities resembled train tracks parallel to the membranes (Fig. 3, A and A'). Closed rings approximately concentric with the enclosing tube membrane were clearly visible where IRE1 α subdomain tubes were imaged parallel to the beam (Fig. 3, B and B', and fig. S9). The inner rings measured $\sim 9 \pm 0.5$ nm in diameter (\pm SD) and were enclosed by membrane tubes $\sim 28 \pm 1$ nm in diameter (±SD) (Fig. 3C). In U2OS-IRE1a-mNG tomograms, the lumenal densities showed sufficient substructure to reveal two intertwined helices (Fig. 3, D to F'): Top and bottom cross sections showed equidistant parallel angled lines of opposite directionalities, whereas the middle cross section showed helical features (Fig. 3, D to G).

Subtomogram averaging resolves flexible IRE1 α -LD double helices

To determine the three-dimensional structure of this density, we extracted 653 subvolumes for subtomogram averaging (fig. S10). The resulting map of averaged electron density portrayed a left-handed double helix composed of two equidistant, intertwined strands with a pitch of 17 nm in each strand (Fig. 4, A and B, and fig. S10). This double-helical structure is reminiscent of the unit cell of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* core IRE1-LD (cLD) crystal structure [Protein Data Bank ID 2BE1 (20)], in which twofold symmetrical IRE1-cLD dimers arrange into left-handed double-helical filaments.

To compare the shape of the known IRE1acLD structures with the averaged map, we next endeavored to interpret the double helix in light of the yeast IRE1-cLD crystal structure in its active conformation, which forms double helices with a pitch of 38 nm, instead of the inactive dimeric human IRE1 α -cLD structure. We built a human IRE1-cLD tetramer model by threading the human IRE1 α -cLD sequence into the crystal structure of active yeast IRE1-cLD, as previously described (*14*, 28). We next fit this tetramer into our double-helical map



Fig. 1. IRE1α oligomers localized to specialized ER regions with complex topology. (A) Fluorescent profiles imaged at 77 K for ER-stressed MEF-IRE1α-mNG cells grown on electron microscopy grids. The white arrow indicates the fluorescent target depicted in (B) to (D); the blue arrow indicates a 500-nm fluorescent nanosphere. N, nucleus. (B and C) Fluorescence image (B) correlated with a representative tomogram z slice (C) showing examples of narrow membrane tubes (black arrows) connected to the general ER network (green arrows) and to each other at three-way junctions (magenta arrows). (D) Manual tomogram segmentation with normal ER membranes in orange and constricted membranes colocalizing with IRE1α-mNG in yellow.
(E) Representative tomogram z slice obtained in ER-stressed U2OS-IRE1α-mNG cells. Arrows are color coded the same as in (C). (F) Manual segmentation of the region shown in (E) with narrow membrane tubes in yellow and other membranes in orange at 50% transparency. Ribosomes and cytoplasmic densities in (D) and (F) are omitted for clarity and are instead shown in fig. S4. Scale bars are 6 µm for (A) and 100 nm for (B) to (F).

Fig. 2. Orthogonal immuno–electron microscopy revealed IRE1α subdomains. (A and

B) Representative micrograph of nonstressed (A) and stressed (B) HEK293-IRE1 α -GFP. Gold particles recognizing the IRE1α-GFP epitope sparsely labeled general ER structures in nonstressed cells but localized to a region enriched in narrow membranes of 26 ± 2 nm in diameter (±SD) with stress. Orange, ER sheet and tubule membranes: blue, mitochondrion: red. plasma membrane: yellow, narrow membrane tubes. Scale bars are 100nm. (C and D) Histograms of inter-gold particle distances measured in micrographs from nonstressed (C) and stressed samples (D) revealed densely clustered gold particles enriched with ER-stress induction. (E to **F**) Enlarged longitudinal [(E)



and (E')] and end-on [(F) and (F')] cross sections of ~28-nm diameter tubes that are close to gold particles. A ring-like density within the lumenal space was visible in (F') (segmented in teal). Scale bars are 20 nm. Yellow, membrane.

and modified the dimer:dimer interface to accommodate the helical pitch observed (Fig. 4, C and D, and fig. S11). We propagated the interface to occupy each filament of the double helix with approximately nine monomers per turn (Fig. 4, E and F). This model is consistent with the yeast cLD crystal structure but has a compressed pitch of 17 nm, and interface residues whose mutation disrupted human IRE1 α foci formation and RNase activity mapped to the helical interfaces (fig. S12) (*14, 19*). The modeled IRE1 α -cLD helix accounts well for the averaged map, including its ribbon-like shape.

We mapped the averaged subvolumes back onto the cryo-tomograms and generated a volumetric distribution for IRE1 α -LD filaments within an IRE1 α subdomain (Fig. 4G). The membrane tubes and helices display a range of straight (radius of curvature >175 nm) and curved segments with radii approaching 25 nm (Fig. 4H and fig. S13). This bending indicates that IRE1 α -LDs and/or their oligomerization interfaces are flexible.

Infrequently, the lumenal double helices were irregularly spaced relative to tube membranes (fig. S14). In one case, we observed helices that were not completely enclosed by membrane tubes but instead were positioned on the lumenal face of a flat ER membrane (Fig. 5, A to B'). The distance constraints that this conformation imposes can be readily accommodated by the 52-amino acid linker connecting the IRE1 α -cLD to IRE1 α 's transmembrane domain (Fig. 5, C to G).

Discussion

The ER is formed from a single continuous membrane that is dynamically differentiated into a plethora of pleiomorphic subdomains (29–32). We found that UPR activation leads to the formation of "IRE1 α subdomains," which are composed of labyrinthine networks of anastomosing ~28-nm membrane tubes that contain ordered IRE1 α -LD double-helical filaments. Our use of cryo-CLEM-ET to determine the supramolecular arrangements of IRE1 α oligomers in their native environment demonstrates the power of in situ structural biology (33).

Several independent lines of evidence support our conclusion that the observed helical densities correspond to oligomerized IRE1 α -LDs: (i) We observed narrow membrane tubes in 20 out of 20 fluorescent foci analyzed, 18 of which showed protein density inside tubes of ~28-nm diameter, which is consistent with the averaged helical maps. No such structures were observed in adjacent and random regions of the cell, including those emitting high auto-

fluorescence; (ii) orthogonal immunogold staining revealed IRE1 α localization to regions enriched with similarly narrow tubes; (iii) the double-helical architecture closely resembles the crystal structure of yeast IRE1-cLD (20); and (iv) the reconstructed volume has the same ribbon-like shape and dimensions as IRE1 α -cLD oligomers.

The existence of IRE1 α subdomains explains why IRE1 α foci contain a mobile periphery and a nonexchangeable core (24). We surmise that the two populations represent (i) IRE1 α molecules located where tubes merge with the main ER and (ii) those located deeper in the interior of the tubes. IRE1 α molecules at helix ends can readily dissociate, and new IRE1 α molecules can associate; they represent a mobile pool. IRE1 α molecules at the foci's core that are physically trapped in arrayed helices represent a nonexchangeable pool.

The functional importance of IRE1 oligomerization is supported by mutational disruption of interfaces that affect foci formation, RNase function, and cell survival under stress (14, 18–22). The confinement of IRE1 α in the specialized IRE1 α subdomains suggests further functional consequences for the regulation of IRE1 α signaling. A single unfolded protein molecule trapped inside a 100-nm-long subdomain

Fig. 3. IRE1a subdomain membrane tubes contained lumenal protein densities. (A to C) Representative examples of longitudinal [(A) and (A')] and end-on [(B) and (B')] cross sections in MEF-IRE1 α mNG tomograms revealed membrane densities (yellow) surrounding lumenal protein densities (teal). Intensity line plots across subdomain tubes were aligned and averaged for nine cross sections and plotted as a function of distance in (C). The blue line with shaded error of the mean was the averaged trace for all plots. Distances separating peak maxima are indicated for membrane densities (yellow) and lumenal protein densities (teal). a.u., arbitrary units. (D to F) Example of lumenal protein densities with helical features obtained in U2OS-IRE1 α -mNG cells viewed as top [(D) and (D')], middle [(E) and (E')], and bottom [(F) and (F')] sections. Color coding is the same as in (A') and (B'). (G) Idealized double helix illustrating how intercepting planes of slices in (D), (E), and (F) could yield the densities segmented in (D'), (E'), and (F'). All scale bars are 20 nm.

Δ

173 Å

В



Fig. 4. Subtomogram averaging resolved flexible IRE1 α -LD double helices. (A and B) Averaged electron density map obtained from 653 subvolumes in U2OS-IRE1 α -mNG tomograms with indicated helical pitch and peak-to-peak width. (C to E) Semitransparent masked single-strand map fitted with modeled IRE1 α -cLD oligomer [(E) and (F)] with 84% of the atoms fitting within the map. Panels (B),

(D), and (F) are 90° x-axis rotations of (A), (C), and (E), respectively. (**F**) Nine monomers of IRE1 α -cLD complete one turn per strand. (**G**) Isosurface of the averaged density mapped back onto the cryo-tomogram at a highly curved region and fitted with a modeled IRE1 α -cLD helix. (**H**) Histogram of 274 radii of curvature measured in 25-nm segments along IRE1 α subdomains.



Fig. 5. IRE1 α -**LD** helices accommodate a range of distances from membrane. (**A** and **A**') Instance of IRE1 α -LD helices not enclosed by membrane tubes. The magenta arrow in (A) indicates a membrane fenestration. Yellow, membrane; teal, helical filaments; red dashed line, plane of rotation; ER, lumenal space; M, mitochondrion. Scale bars are 100 nm. (**B** and **B**') Side view with 90° *x*-axis rotation along the indicated plane. Color coding is the same as in (A'). Scale bars are 20 nm. (**C**) Diagram of IRE1 α domains drawn to approximate scale. cLD, core LD (amino acids

19 to 390); L-linker, lumenal linker (amino acids 391 to 443); TM, transmembrane helix (amino acids 444 to 464); C-linker, cytoplasmic linker (amino acids 465 to 570); KD, kinase domain (amino acids 571 to 832); RD, RNase domain (amino acids 835 to 964). (**D**) Dimensions of IRE1 α -LD helices within IRE1 α subdomain lumenal space. (**E** and **F**) Schematics for alternative TM and L-linker arrangements. There were 18 monomers per turn per double helix but only nine TMs and L-linkers were shown for clarity. (**G**) Model for TM and L-linker arrangement for helices as seen in (B).

segment has an effective concentration of ~40 µM (materials and methods), which is well within the range of the affinity measured for IRE1α-unfolded protein binding in vitro (13, 14). Thus, once IRE1 α subdomains form under ER stress, a few activating ligand molecules would be sufficient to saturate IRE1α-LD, effectively locking IRE1 α into its activated state. This effect is due to the enormous concentration IRE1 α experiences upon foci formation. Without UPR activation, IRE1a-mNG is distributed over the ER surface at ~10 molecules/ μ m² (24). Inside IRE1 α subdomains, it is enriched to >10,000 molecules/ μ m² of subdomain membrane (materials and methods). Moreover, the complex subdomain membrane topology (34) and IRE1*a*-LD's helical assembly both reduce IRE1 α diffusional freedom and stabilize the oligomeric state. We estimate that the local concentrations of IRE1a-cLD inside subdomain tubes and IRE1a cytosolic domains on the tubes' surface approach 4 to 5 mM and 170 to 220 µM, respectively, well exceeding the measured oligomerization affinities for purified IRE1 domains (22, 35). IRE1a subdomains may also function as a diffusion barrier, in which large molecules may be excluded or diffusionally constrained (materials and methods).

The averaged human IRE1 α -LD map and yeast IRE1-cLD crystal structure both revealed equidistant double left-handed helices, albeit

with a 2.2-fold difference in pitch. The differences could be species specific or result from crystallization conditions. IRE1 α helices are observed, although rarely, on flat ER membranes, which indicates that the IRE1 α lumenal linker domains can compact to varying degrees to bridge IRE1 α -cLD with the nearest membrane.

IRE1 α -LD oligomers may form on flat ER membranes and subsequently deform and constrict membranes (*36*), perhaps using preexisting regions of high curvature (*37*), to form the tubes of regular diameter. Alternatively, in 2 out of 20 tomograms, IRE1 α -mNG foci localized to irregular, thin membrane tubes without ordered lumenal filaments (fig. S14), which possibly reflects an intermediate assembly state that captured IRE1 α 's localization preceding LD helix formation.

Our discovery of the IRE1 α subdomain suggests intriguing possibilities for how these specialized ER structures could serve regulatory functions in the UPR. IRE1 α recruitment into long-lived, topologically distinct structures may scaffold proposed downstream effectors (9) and/or affect the switch in IRE1 α RNase output between *XBP1* mRNA splicing and RIDD activities (17). Such regulation may profoundly affect the UPR's life/death decision and hence be of crucial importance in designing UPR-centered therapies in disorders characterized by a breakdown of proteostasis.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. A. Helenius, T. Marquardt, I. Braakman, *Trends Cell Biol.* 2, 227–231 (1992).
- G. E. Karagöz, D. Acosta-Alvear, P. Walter, Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 11, a033886 (2019).
- K. Mori, W. Ma, M. J. Gething, J. Sambrook, *Cell* 74, 743–756 (1993).
- J. S. Cox, C. E. Shamu, P. Walter, *Cell* 73, 1197–1206 (1993).
- 5. I. Tabas, D. Ron, Nat. Cell Biol. 13, 184-190 (2011).
- 6. J. H. Lin et al., Science 318, 944-949 (2007).
- 7. M. Lu et al., Science 345, 98-101 (2014).
- T.-K. Chang et al., Mol. Cell **71**, 629–636.e5 (2018).
 C. Hetz, K. Zhang, R. J. Kaufman, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. **21**.
- G. Hetz, K. Zhang, R. J. Kautman, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2. 421–438 (2020).
- 10. X. Z. Wang et al., EMBO J. 17, 5708–5717 (1998).
- 11. C. Sidrauski, P. Walter, *Cell* **90**, 1031–1039 (1997).
- A. Bertolotti, Y. Zhang, L. M. Hendershot, H. P. Harding, D. Ron, Nat. Cell Biol. 2, 326–332 (2000).
- 13. B. M. Gardner, P. Walter, Science 333, 1891-1894 (2011).
- G. E. Karagöz et al., eLife 6, e30700 (2017).
 M. M. U. Ali et al., EMBO J. 30, 894–905 (2011).
- M. M. O. All et al., EMBO J. 50, 894–903 (2011)
 M. Calfon et al., Nature 415, 92–96 (2002).
- M. Canon et al., Nature 413, 52–56 (2002).
 J. Hollien, J. S. Weissman, Science 313, 104–107 (2006).
- 18. T. Aragón et al., Nature **457**, 736–740 (2009).
- H. Hugor et al., Natare 437, 780-740 (2003).
 H. Li, A. V. Korennykh, S. L. Behrman, P. Walter, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 16113–16118 (2010).
- J. J. Credle, J. S. Finer-Moore, F. R. Papa, R. M. Stroud, P. Walter, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102, 18773–18784 (2005).
- 21. J. Zhou et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103, 14343–14348 (2006).
- 22. A. V. Korennykh et al., Nature 457, 687-693 (2009).
- 23. D. Ricci et al., FASEB J. 33, 9811-9827 (2019).
- V. Belyy, N.-H. Tran, P. Walter, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 117, 1533–1542 (2020).
- W. F. Tivol, A. Briegel, G. J. Jensen, *Microsc. Microanal.* 14, 375–379 (2008).
- 26. A. Briegel et al., Methods Enzymol. 481, 317-341 (2010).
- 27. S. D. Carter et al., J. Struct. Biol. 201, 15-25 (2018).

- J. Yang, Y. Zhang, Nucleic Acids Res. 43, W174–W181 (2015).
- N. Borgese, M. Francolini, E. Snapp, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 18, 358–364 (2006).
- 30. J. Nixon-Abell et al., Science 354, eaaf3928 (2016).
- M. J. Phillips, G. K. Voeltz, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 17, 69–82 (2016).
- S. Schuck, W. A. Prinz, K. S. Thorn, C. Voss, P. Walter, J. Cell Biol. 187, 525–536 (2009).
- S. Asano, B. D. Engel, W. Baumeister, J. Mol. Biol. 428, 332–343 (2016).
- 34. T. Cui-Wang et al., Cell 148, 309-321 (2012).
- M. Sanches et al., Nat. Commun. 5, 4202 (2014).
 M. Puhka, M. Joensuu, H. Vihinen, I. Belevich, E. Jokitalo, MBoC
- 23, 2424–2432 (2012).
 37. T. Shemesh *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, E5243–E5251 (2014).
- 38. N.-H. Tran et al., Analysis code and quantification for publication "The stress-sensing domain of activated IRE1α

forms helical filaments in narrow ER membrane tubes." Zenodo (2021); http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5030935.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E. Karagoz, S. Niekamp, V. Belyy, M. Elvekrog, D. Acosta-Alvear, R. Fetter, and S. van Dijk for their advice and technical assistance and G. Huber, R. Ernst, A. Frost, and J. Nunnari for insightful discussions. **Funding:** This work was supported in part by NWO NEMI (grant 184.034.014 to J.K.) and the NIH (grants P50 Al150464 and R35 GM122588 to G.J.J. and R01-GM032384 to P.W.) N.-H.T. is supported by the NSF GRFP. P.W. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. **Author contributions**: Methodology: N.-H.T., S.D.C., A.D.M.; Formal analysis: N.-H.T., S.D.C., A.D.M. Visualization: N.-H.T., S.D.C.; Writing – original draft & editing: N.-H.T., S.D.C.; Conceptualization: A.A., J.K., P.W., G.J.J.; Funding acquisition: A.A., J.K., P.W., G.J.J.; Funding nequisition: A.A., J.K., P.W., G.J.J.; Writing – review & editing: P.W., G.J.J. **Competing interests:** A.D.M. received a salary from Genentech, Inc. The authors declare no other competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** The codes used for analyses are available through Zenodo (38). The subtomogram-averaged maps can be accessed at EMDB (EMD-23058). Raw and processed data, cell lines, and reagents are available upon request.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abh2474 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S14 References (39–51) MDAR Reproducibility Checklist Movies S1 to S3

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.

24 February 2021; accepted 11 August 2021 10.1126/science.abh2474

Science

The stress-sensing domain of activated IRE1# forms helical filaments in narrow ER membrane tubes

Ngoc-Han TranStephen D. CarterAnn De MazièreAvi AshkenaziJudith KlumpermanPeter WalterGrant J. Jensen

Science, 374 (6563), • DOI: 10.1126/science.abh2474

Keeping tabs on ER stress

In the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), oligomerization is a central step in modulating signaling during the unfolded protein response. Tran *et al.* visualized the active, oligomeric form of the ER stress sensor IRE1# residing in an ER subdomain in stressed human cells. This ER region was composed of narrow (diameter about 28 nanometers) anastomosing ER tubes with a high degree of branching and was continuous with surrounding ER structures. Within the lumen of these narrow IRE1# subdomain tubes, regular protein densities were observed, consistent with ordered oligomers of the lumenal stress–sensing domain of IRE1#. This arrangement may suggest a positive feedback mechanism involved in signaling by IRE1#. —SMH

View the article online

https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abh2474 Permissions https://www.science.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Science (ISSN) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science. 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title Science is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2021 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works