Development of a stress response therapy targeting aggressive prostate cancer
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Oncogenic lesions up-regulate bioenergetically demanding cellular processes, such as protein synthesis, to drive cancer cell growth and continued proliferation. However, the hijacking of these key processes by oncogenic pathways imposes onerous cell stress that must be mitigated by adaptive responses for cell survival. The mechanism by which these adaptive responses are established, their functional consequences for tumor development, and their implications for therapeutic interventions remain largely unknown. Using murine and humanized models of prostate cancer (PCa), we show that one of the three branches of the unfolded protein response is selectively activated in advanced PCa. This adaptive response activates the phosphorylation of the eukaryotic initiation factor 2α (P-eIF2α) to reset global protein synthesis to a level that fosters aggressive tumor development and is a marker of poor patient survival upon the acquisition of multiple oncogenic lesions. Using patient-derived xenograft models and an inhibitor of P-eIF2α activity, ISRIB, our data show that targeting this adaptive brake for protein synthesis selectively triggers cytotoxicity against aggressive metastatic PCa, a disease for which presently there is no cure.

INTRODUCTION

Adaptation to cellular stress, driven by oncogenic lesions, is one of the most fundamental and poorly understood features of cancer cells (1, 2). Multiple oncogenes sustain uncontrolled cancer cell growth and division by stimulating the production of molecular “building blocks,” such as proteins and outputs of anabolic metabolism. However, this poses an onerous expenditure of cellular resources, and it remains poorly understood how cancer cells adapt to this increased metabolic load. One example is an increase in total proteins being synthesized, because cancer cells need to sustain augmented growth and division (3). Left unchecked, infinite increases in the cancer cell’s biosynthetic demand would tilt the balance from continuous growth and division to cell death. Therefore, increases of biosynthetic processes place a high demand on cancer cells and are a source of constant stress that must be carefully regulated by the activation of appropriate checkpoints, which remain poorly understood. How then do cancer cells accommodate overwhelming stress such as an increased protein burden? Are cytoprotective responses activated in aggressive disease, and do they represent a point of vulnerability that can be exploited for cancer therapy?

Increased protein synthesis and the flux in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) create a state of proteotoxic stress associated with the accumulation of misfolded proteins (4–6). This ER stress activates the unfolded protein response (UPR). The UPR is composed of three signaling arms: ATF6 (activating transcription factor 6) with transcriptional activity to promote ER homeostasis, IRE1 (inositol-requiring enzyme 1) to control splicing of the transcription factor XBP1 enhancing ER gene expression, and PERK [PKR (RNA-activated protein kinase)–like ER-associated protein kinase], which promotes downstream phosphorylation of eIF2α (eukaryotic initiation factor 2α) (P-eIF2α) on serine 51 (4). Unlike the other arms of the UPR, PERK P-eIF2α creates a direct “brake” for general protein synthesis because of the conversion of eIF2 from a substrate of the ternary complex, which is necessary to promote the initiation step of mRNA translation, to an inhibitor of this complex (7, 8). Although UPR activation has been associated with cancer, it remains poorly understood which oncogenes and/or combinations of oncogenes control distinct arms of this pathway in vivo during the initiation or progression of tumor development. It is also unclear whether and when the UPR is activated during the course of cancer evolution, its specific requirements in distinct phases of tumorigenesis, and the potential druggability of this stress adaptation pathway in human cancers.

Here, we set out to address these outstanding questions by investigating cancer development within a specialized secretory epithelial tissue. The prostate is a walnut-sized conglomerate of tubular or saclike glands, dedicated to the production of proteinaceous secretory fluid. One of the early consequences of human primary prostate cancer (PCa) is a major remodeling of the cancer cell proteome associated with increases in protein biosynthesis (9–11). For example, loss of the PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) tumor
suppressor and hyperactivation of the oncogene MYC, accounting for nearly 50% of the lethal metastatic form of human PCa (12, 13), have major effects on protein synthesis (14–17). Thus, we reasoned that the prostate would provide a good model to understand the mechanisms by which oncogenic cells buffer the burden of increased protein synthesis to prevent proteotoxic stress during cancer formation.

RESULTS
MYC amplification with PTEN loss diminish oncogenic increases of global protein synthesis in lethal murine PCa

We modeled distinct stages of human PCa in the mouse, using a newly generated conditional transgenic MYC mouse, where the overexpression of C-MYC is driven in a Cre-specific manner (MycTg), in combination with the conditional loss of PTEN in the prostate epithelium (Pb-cre4;Ptenfl/fl, herein referred to as Ptenfl/fl) (fig. S1) (18). The advantage of this mouse is that cells overexpressing MycTg can be traced through expression of green fluorescent protein (GFP) present in the targeting locus, allowing for visualization of the earliest events in tumorigenesis (fig. S1, A and B). In agreement with the notion that MYC hyperactivation may be a secondary event for human PCa development (19), we find that MYC overexpression alone in prostate epithelium (Pb-cre4;MycTg, herein referred to as MycTg) increased proliferation but did not result in adenocarcinoma by 1 year of age (fig. S1, C to E). This is consistent with previous reports, which showed MYC expression under the control of similar promoters to those used here (20, 21). MycTg mice with concomitant loss of PTEN in prostate tissue (Ptenfl/fl;MycTg) showed significant enlargement of prostate growth by 6 weeks of age (P < 0.0003) and accelerated development of high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HgPIN) compared to mice with loss of PTEN alone (Fig. 1, A and B). PTEN loss and MYC amplification cooperated to develop adenocarcinoma by 10 weeks (Fig. 1B), resulting in marked increases in Ptenfl/fl;MycTg tumor size visualized by ultrasound (Fig. 1C). This aggressive oncogenic progression significantly decreased overall survival (P < 0.05), with a mean life span of 75 weeks (Fig. 1D). Collectively, this genetically engineered mouse model (GEMM) recapitulates aggressive human PCa and results in decreased survival.

To evaluate the effects of these key oncogenes on global protein synthesis, we assessed newly synthesized proteins by incorporation of 35S-labeled methionine in organoid cultures. We established pri-
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**Fig. 1.** MycTg and loss of PTEN cooperate for aggressive PCa development, resulting in decreased survival. (A) Total dehydrated prostate weights from 6- and 10-week-old mice were averaged for each genotype (n = 3 to 6 mice per arm, mean ± SEM), wild-type, WT. (B) Phenotypical penetrance percentages for low-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (LgPIN), HgPIN, and cancer in anterior prostate tissues from 6- and 10-week-old mice evaluated by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. (C) Left: Representative ultrasound images of prostate tumors at 7 months outlined in yellow from indicated genotypes; scale bars, 9 mm. Right: Quantification of prostate tumor size in mice with an average age of 8 months (n = 5 mice per arm, mean ± SEM). (D) Kaplan-Meier survival curves for mice with the indicated genotypes. Dotted line highlights the median life span of 75 weeks for Ptenfl/fl;MycTg mice. (E) Top: Representative bright-field images of three-dimensional organoid structures 6 days after seeding; scale bars, 50 μm. Bottom: Western blot analyzing the organoids, showing P-AKT, PTEN, GFP for MycTg, and β-actin. (F) Newly synthesized proteins measured by 35S methionine/cysteine incorporation in organoids (left panel), with quantification relative to WT littermates (right panel) (n = 5, mean ± SEM). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, t test.
an unanticipated but significant dampening in global protein synthesis in Pten^fl/fl;MycTg mice ($P = 0.01$), despite the fact that these mice developed more aggressive PCa (Fig. 1F). This observation revealed an interesting paradox. It suggested that despite the presence of two oncogenic lesions that individually up-regulate protein synthesis, a yet unknown adaptive response may take place when protein synthesis is up-regulated beyond a specific threshold in aggressive PCa.

**Aggressive PCa activates a key cellular stress response during tumor development**

Proteins that are synthesized in the secretory pathway amount to about 30% of the total proteome in most eukaryotic cells (4, 6). Although UPR activation can be studied with pharmacological inducers of ER stress, under physiological processes, the activation of the UPR may reduce the unfolded protein load through several prosurvival mechanisms, including the expansion of the ER membrane and the selective synthesis of key components of the protein folding and quality control machinery (26). To address how cancer cells respond and adapt to a protein synthesis burden in vivo and downstream of specific oncogenic lesions, we tested whether a specific molecular signature of the UPR may be activated in Pten^fl/fl- versus Pten^fl/fl;MycTg-derived PCa.

We performed quantitative immunofluorescence (IF) staining for cleaved ATF6, P-IRE1, and P-PERK during tumor development to test whether the UPR was activated during PCa progression. Visualizing UPR expression within prostatic tissue at 10 weeks of age allowed us to directly gauge the activity of each arm during neoplasia. Whereas the ATF6 and IRE1 branches of the UPR were relatively weakly activated in Pten^fl/fl and Pten^fl/fl;MycTg tissue (Fig. S2A), PERK phosphorylation was selectively increased by over 15-fold within Pten^fl/fl;MycTg tissue compared to its near absence in Pten^fl/fl cells (Fig. 2A). Thus, PERK activation is a distinct response that may promote tumorigenesis in aggressive PCa driven by the cooperation of two oncogenic lesions. To confirm the selective activation of PERK signaling in Pten^fl/fl;MycTg mice, we evaluated the downstream signaling to eIF2α. P-eIF2α was also markedly increased in Pten^fl/fl;MycTg mice and strongest within areas of PIN but remained absent within Pten^fl/fl tissues (Fig. 2, A and B, and fig. S2B). The expression of the ER-specific molecular chaperone BiP was not changed and was also high in normal prostatic tissues in agreement with the secretory role of these glands (fig. S2C). Collectively, this analysis reveals two independent, yet linked mechanisms: (i) activation of each UPR pathway in PCa in vivo and (ii) activation of a P-eIF2α–dependent response selectively in Pten^fl/fl;MycTg mice, which display more aggressive PCa progression and reduced survival.

**Rebalancing protein synthesis through P-eIF2α is required for aggressive PCa progression**

A general UPR response may promote adaptation to proteotoxic and ER stress, whereas the activation of P-eIF2α could place a direct brake on the overwhelming burden of protein synthesis that occurs during more aggressive tumorogenesis. To test this hypothesis, we used our organoid cultures, which recapitulate the in vivo phenotype. The Pten^fl/fl;MycTg cultures show increased activation of P-PERK, P-eIF2α expression and reduced survival. **P < 0.01, t test.
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Fig. 3. Inhibition of P-eIF2α activity rebalances protein synthesis and prevents PCa progression. (A) Representative Western blot highlighting PERK signaling in organoid cultures. (B) Left: Total newly synthesized proteins measured by 35S methionine/cysteine incorporation and Western blot showing P-eIF2α and ATF4 in organoids treated with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or ISRIB (500 nM) for 6 hours. Right: Quantification of radioactive pulse relative to loading, depicted as percent over WT (n = 3, mean ± SEM). (C) Schematic of preclinical trial for escalating dosage and MRI schedule over 6 weeks. (D) Representative scans of two ISRIB-treated mice after 1 and 6 weeks of treatment for comparison. Tumor is outlined in red, and arrows highlight the seminal vesicles (SV) surrounding the tumor. (E) Quantification of tumor size as fold change relative to baseline volume at 3- and 6-week time points (mean ± SEM). **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, t test.

decamer holoenzyme to enhance the binding of the eIF2 factor, thereby restoring protein synthesis regardless of eIF2α phosphorylation (29). In Pten−/− organoid cultures, protein synthesis was not altered by ISRIB treatment, despite the drug inhibiting P-eIF2α activity, as confirmed by a decrease in ATF4 expression (Fig. 3B). Conversely, we observed a marked increase of newly synthesized proteins in Pten−/−;MycTg cells, which show increased P-eIF2α signaling (Fig. 3B). Together, these experiments indicate that P-eIF2α creates an adaptive response to relieve the burden of increased protein synthesis within Pten−/−;MycTg oncogenic cells.

In addition to PERK, other kinases can phosphorylate the eIF2α subunit upon distinct stress signals: GCN2 (amino acid deprivation), PKR (viral infection), and HRI (heme deprivation) (30). To assess whether the selective adaptive response observed during aggressive PCA development of Pten−/−;MycTg mice was specific to the PERK–P-eIF2α axis, we undertook a genetic approach, using Perk−/− mice to evaluate the loss of PERK in the prostate gland (fig. S3B) (31). Pten−/−;MycTg;Perk−/− mice showed markedly reduced prostate growth compared to Pten−/−;MycTg mice, with weights similar to Pten−/− and Pten−/−;Perk−/− mice at 10 weeks of age (fig. S3C). The reduction in prostate size corresponded to a decrease in cancer progression and in cell proliferation (fig. S3, D and E). To determine the consequence of PERK loss for P-eIF2α signaling in PCa development, we monitored P-eIF2α expression by IF staining. The activation of P-eIF2α was reduced by 70% in Pten−/−;MycTg;Perk−/− tissue compared to Pten−/−;MycTg (fig. S3F). These data strongly suggest that the P-eIF2α–dependent adaptive stress response is driven to a large extent by PERK signaling.

Our studies demonstrated that P-eIF2α is directly activated in the early stage of Pten−/−;MycTg tumorigenesis, being visible in benign tissue and increasing in HgPIN, which may reflect a distinct point of vulnerability for aggressive PCa (Fig. 2). To evaluate the necessity of P-eIF2α for promoting tumor growth or maintenance in vivo, we conducted a preclinical trial. Mice with developed tumors were imaged by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to confirm a measurable baseline of prostate volume per mouse and then grouped into cohorts for either vehicle or ISRIB treatment daily over the course of 6 weeks (Fig. 3C). Pten−/−;MycTg mice showed tumor regression within 3 weeks of ISRIB treatment, with no signs of toxicity, whereas all Pten−/− mice showed continued tumor growth (Fig. 3, D and E, fig. S4A, and table S1). By 6 weeks, Pten−/−;MycTg mice showed an approximate 40% increase in growth over individual baseline measurements, whereas ISRIB-treated Pten−/−;MycTg mice demonstrated no progression in tumor size. In addition, we evaluated the immune cell infiltration, marked by the pan-leukocyte antibody CD45 after 3 weeks of ISRIB treatment and observed no significant changes regardless of prostate tumor genotype and treatment (fig. S4B). Further analysis of immune cell populations did not demonstrate substantial differences in total T cell or myeloid populations, including dendritic cells, macrophages, and neutrophils (fig. S4, C and D). Of the intertumoral immune cells examined, less than 5% were either CD4+ or CD8+ T cells, as expected for the Pten−/− murine prostate model (32). Although we cannot exclude the possibility that ISRIB may be remodeling tumor immunity during initial treatment, this was not evident after 3 weeks of treatment. Together, these studies reveal that P-eIF2α signaling is functionally relevant in aggressive PCa and that this adaptive response is therapeutically targetable in vivo using the small-molecule inhibitor ISRIB.

To extend our observations directly to human disease, we created human cell lines to mimic our genetic mouse models. Human RWPE-1
epithelial cells were created to stably knock down PTEN (shPTEN) with or without MYC overexpression (MYC<sup>OE</sup>, Fig. 4A). The combination of PTEN loss with increased MYC expression activated PERK\(^\alpha\), showing that the adaptive response that we had observed in mice is also triggered in human prostate cells. To understand the requirement for this stress response checkpoint in human cells, we treated each cell line with ISRIB and observed a marked increase in apoptosis, independent of alterations in proliferation, specifically in shPTEN;MYC<sup>OE</sup> cells relative to control samples (Fig. 4B and fig. S5A).

**High P-eIF2\(\alpha\) expression with loss of PTEN is associated with an increased risk of metastasis after surgery**

To further examine the clinical relevance of high P-eIF2\(\alpha\) downstream of PTEN loss, we built a human tissue microarray (TMA) consisting of 424 tumors and analyzed the expression of PTEN, c-MYC, and P-eIF2\(\alpha\). On the basis of our GEMMs, we predicted that the combination of PTEN loss and P-eIF2\(\alpha\) would associate with advanced PCA. We selected an array of patients with PCa ranging from low to high risk, who received surgery as a curative treatment with a median of 10 years of follow-up to accurately evaluate the incidence of clinical progression, a composite outcome representing visceral or bone metastasis or PCA-specific mortality (MET/PCSM) (Table 1). We used quantitative IF of P-eIF2\(\alpha\), c-MYC, and PTEN normalized to adjacent benign tissue (fig. S6, A and B) and then evaluated associated risk for MET/PCSM. After controlling for age, prostate-specific antigen (PSA), Gleason score, and pathological staging, the analysis showed that patients with PTEN loss/high MYC expression were more likely to experience metastatic progression than patients with PTEN loss or high MYC alone (Fig. 4C).

Our data from the GEMMs and human prostatic cell lines suggested that P-eIF2\(\alpha\) is a targetable adaptive response downstream of PTEN loss and MYC hyperactivation. Hence, we next examined the associated risk of progression in patients with PTEN loss and high P-eIF2\(\alpha\) at the time of surgery. The rate of MET/PCSM-free survival was significantly lower in patients with high P-eIF2\(\alpha\) and PTEN loss compared to PTEN loss alone (<0.01) (Fig. 4D). Only 4% of patients with PTEN loss and low P-eIF2\(\alpha\) succumbed to metastasis or death, whereas 19% of patients with PTEN loss and high P-eIF2\(\alpha\) showed MET/PCSM by 10 years after surgical intervention with the intention to cure the disease. Furthermore, patients with high P-eIF2\(\alpha\) and PTEN loss had a higher risk
of MET/PCSM compared to patients with no PTEN loss, with a hazard ratio of 5.40 [95% confidence interval (CI), 2.46 to 11.86; \( P < 0.01 \)], whereas other variables that may affect the risk were not significantly different (Fig. 4E). MYC overexpression with either low or high P-eIF2\( \alpha \) did not associate with increased risk of MET/PCSM (fig S6C), supporting our findings that MYC alone does not drive PCa. Notably, high P-eIF2\( \alpha \) expression played a role equivalent to the MYC oncogene in combination with loss of PTEN at predicting metastatic progression (Fig. 4, C and D), yet unlike MYC, P-eIF2\( \alpha \) high P-eIF2\( \alpha \) supporting our findings that MYC alone does not drive PCa. Notably, high P-eIF2\( \alpha \) expression with either low or high MYC, P-eIF2\( \alpha \) may be a druggable target.

Together, the combination of P-eIF2\( \alpha \) (Fig. 4, C and D), yet unlike MYC, P-eIF2\( \alpha \) high P-eIF2\( \alpha \) supporting our findings that MYC alone does not drive PCa. Notably, high P-eIF2\( \alpha \) expression with either low or high MYC, P-eIF2\( \alpha \) may be a druggable target.

### Table 1. Characteristics of patients included in the TMA

Baseline characteristics of the TMA cohort consisting of 424 tumor samples, where 58 years is the average age at diagnosis. More than 50% of the cohort had pathological Gleason grade 7 or higher, and 75% had organ-confined disease (pathological stage T2). Median follow-up was 10 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient characteristics of TMA</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biopsy Gleason grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 + 3</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 + 4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 + 3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 – 10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical T stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pathologic Gleason grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 + 3</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 + 4</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 + 3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 – 10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pathologic T stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pathologic N stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NX</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgical margins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adverse path (Gleason Grade ≥4 + 3 or pT3a/pN1)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P-eIF2\( \alpha \) is a targetable adaptive response in aggressive human PCa**

We next sought to functionally evaluate whether we could target the UPR pathway, specifically through P-eIF2\( \alpha \), in advanced human PCa. Although it is historically difficult to generate human prostate patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models (36), we were successful in generating models with similar characteristics to the Pten\( ^{fl/fl} \);Myc\( ^{Tg} \) mice to assess the effects of ISRIB on cancer growth and mortality. In particular, we generated two PDX models: one derived from a primary tumor, herein referred to as pPCa, and one derived from a lymph node metastasis in the left internal iliac chain from the same patient, herein referred to as mPCa (Fig. 5A). The pPCa-PDX tumor had significantly lower MYC expression than the mPCa-PDX tumor (\( P < 0.01 \)), but both showed loss of PTEN with increased P-AKT expression (Fig. 5B and fig. S7A). We also observed a significant increase in P-eIF2\( \alpha \) only in the mPCa (\( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 5B).

To test the therapeutic efficacy of ISRIB in human PCa, we performed a preclinical trial on the stably passaged PDX model. Targeting P-eIF2\( \alpha \) pharmacologically significantly prolonged survival in mice bearing the metastatic tumor with high P-eIF2\( \alpha \) (\( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 5C), whereas the effectiveness of ISRIB treatment was short-lived in pPCa tumor. Consistent with our GEM model, the mPCa-PDX model, with high expression of P-eIF2\( \alpha \), displayed significant tumor regression and cell death (\( P < 0.01 \)), as demonstrated by increased terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated deoxyuridine triphosphate nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining and cleaved caspase 3 expression after only 9 days of ISRIB treatment (Fig. 5, D and E, and fig. S7B). Conversely, the pPCa-PDX model, with low P-eIF2\( \alpha \), did not show regression but stabilized with eventual tumor regrowth and no significant cell death (Fig. 5, D and E, and fig. S7B). These findings demonstrate that attenuating P-eIF2\( \alpha \) activity with ISRIB elicits a potent antitumor effect in a humanized model of advanced PCa.

We next determined whether a metastatic PCa tumor, harboring high MYC and loss of PTEN activity in a complex genetic background of human PCa, relies on eIF2\( \alpha \) phosphorylation as an adaptive response to restrain global protein synthesis. Therefore, we assessed...
newly synthesized proteins in vivo by measuring the incorporation of O-propargyl–puromycin (OP-Puro) within the primary and metastatic tumor–derived PDXs, which have low or high P-eIF2α, respectively. Upon ISRIB treatment, we observed a marked increase in global protein synthesis specifically in the mPCa PDX, but no change in pPCa tumors where P-eIF2α expression was not up-regulated (Fig. 5F). To further assess the functional relevance of P-eIF2α signaling, we decreased ATF4 expression in vivo using intratumor knockdown by small interfering RNA (siRNA). Within the area of intratumor ATF4 loss, we observed apoptosis and decreased proliferation.
assessed by TUNEL and Ki67 staining of mPCa PDX (fig. S7C). This demonstrated that inhibition of the PERK-eIF2α axis by a genetic or pharmacological approach effectively results in cell death of aggressive PCa in vivo.

**Targeting P-eIF2α activity reduced metastasis and prolonged survival in a PDX model of metastatic castration-resistant PCa**

In hormone-sensitive metastatic PCa, androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) remains the mainstay treatment; however, these tumors inevitably develop resistance to ADT and progress into the lethal form of metastatic castration-resistant PCa (mCRPC) (37). Characterization of the hormone-sensitive metastatic disease has not been predictive of outcomes in the clinical setting of lethal mCRPC (38, 39). To directly study the contribution of P-eIF2α to metastasis, we generated an additional PDX (herein mCRPC PDX) derived from a patient with mCRPC despite prolonged treatment with complete androgen blockade using leuprolide (ADT) and antiandrogen therapy (enazulundamide) (37). Three weeks after implantation of the mCRPC tumor under the mouse renal capsule, we observed tumor dissemination to the liver, distant kidney, lymph nodes, and spleen (fig. S8, A and B). The mCRPC PDX line continued to exhibit metastatic dissemination in the mouse host after multiple passages and retained histological and molecular characteristics of the original tumor. The distant metastatic lesions exhibited loss of PTEN, high MYC, and high P-eIF2α expression (Fig. 6A and fig. S8C).

To examine the role of P-eIF2α from the early stages of metastatic growth to late stages of dissemination, we used a prostate-specific membrane antigen [68 Ga–PSMA-11 PET/computed tomography (CT)] scan to track the progression of very small metastases from early to late stages of dissemination, which were not visible by conventional imaging modalities such as 18F-DG PET/CT (fig. S8D) (40). Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is highly expressed on the surface of PCa cells and allows sensitive staging to evaluate therapy response in the clinical setting (40). We subjected mice bearing liver or distal metastasis (confirmed by PSMA PET) to either vehicle or ISRIB treatment (Fig. 6, B and C). Inhibition of P-eIF2α with ISRIB significantly prolonged survival in mCRPC PDX mice bearing distal metastatic lesions (P = 0.01; Fig. 6C). In contrast, mice with metastasis died within 10 days on vehicle treatment. By direct imaging with PSMA PET/CT, we observed substantial...
metastatic regression at distal sites in mice treated with ISRIB (Fig. 6B). In addition, we confirmed a difference in metastatic progression in the liver by pathohistological analysis at time of euthanasia (Fig. 6D). Therefore, two independent PDX models of metastatic disease, one derived from a patient with early nodal metastasis (hormone-sensitive) and the second from a patient with castration-resistant PCAs, demonstrated that blocking the activation of the adaptive brake on global protein synthesis via the P-eIF2α axis resulted in profound tumor regression and inhibition of metastatic dissemination.

DISCUSSION
The biological processes that allow cancer cells to balance working at capacity for tumor progression while dealing with stress phenotypes induced by the overload of cellular processes underlying rapid cell growth and division (bioenergetic processes including DNA and protein synthesis) are still poorly understood. Our data reveal a cell-autonomous mechanism wherein the activity of two major oncogenic lesions, loss of PTEN and MYC overexpression, which independently enhance protein synthesis, paradoxically, decrease global protein production when these oncogenic events coexist. This highlights the requirement for an adaptive protein homeostasis response to sustain aggressive tumor development.

Proteostasis is essential for normal cell health and viability, and as such is ensured by the coordinated control of protein synthesis, folding, and degradation (41). Although the UPR enables proteostasis to be restored during unfavorable conditions, we found that PCa cells have usurped a specific branch of this pathway for tumor growth and maintenance. The UPR consists of three main branches, yet only the PERK–P-eIF2α axis is selectively triggered in this pathophysiological state to ensure continued survival of cancer cells. The mechanisms triggering the selective activation of the PERK–P-eIF2α axis in PCa may be through increased protein misfolding itself, as a consequence of augmented protein synthesis at the ER, or through additional cues acting independently from the UPR (42). Nonetheless, the adaptive response involving P-eIF2α signaling provides a barrier to uncontrolled increases in protein synthesis and creates a permissive environment for continued tumor growth. It is also possible that P-eIF2α may affect the translation of select transcripts that are essential for aggressive oncogenesis (43–45).

It is tempting to speculate that cancer cells may have usurped mechanisms normally operating in certain cell types, whereby activation of specific branches of the UPR enables cellular differentiation or maintenance of stem cell features (46). For example, B lymphocytes normally induce the UPR during their differentiation into plasma cells to preemptively prepare for increased antibody production and secretion (47). Moreover, skeletal muscle stem cells maintain enhanced P-eIF2α to promote a quiescent state required for their self-renewal capacity, which requires diminished protein synthesis (48). Such control of the UPR seen in specialized cell types may have been hijacked by specific oncogenic lesions to promote cancer survival and metastatic behavior. Our data show the functional relevance of targeting this adaptive brake with ISRIB treatment to trigger cytotoxicity during aggressive lethal stages of advanced and castration-resistant PCas, for which at present there is no cure.

proteotoxic stress to support tumor growth and survival. This objective was addressed by (i) creating mouse models, cell lines, and PDX models that depict the loss of PTEN with or without the overexpression of MYC, (ii) evaluating PCa development downstream of these oncogenes, (iii) observing global changes in newly synthesized proteins, followed by (iv) identifying the adaptive response responsible for our observations. Using a genetic and pharmacological approach in both GEM and PDX models, we inhibited the identified adaptive response to observe the effects on tumor development and growth. TMA analysis was also conducted to investigate the clinical relevance of our findings in association with advanced PCas.

For all experiments, our sample sizes were determined on the basis of experience and published literature, which historically show that these in vivo models are penetrant and consistent for tumor development. We used the maximum number of mice available for a given experiment based on the following criteria: the number of GEMMs available in the age range of tumor development and tumor size availability for implantation in PDXs. All mice were randomly assigned to each treatment group for all preclinical trials. Blinded observers visually inspected mice for obvious signs of tumor growth or morbidity including weight loss, hunched posture, or lethargy. MRI tumor recognition, IF imaging, and data collection by flow cytometry were done by researchers blinded to the sample identification after analysis. The number of experimental replicates is specified within each figure legend and elaborated for specific experiments within Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel, GraphPad Prism, or SAS (Statistical Analysis System) 9.4 for Windows, with additional description in Supplementary Materials and Methods. Raw values were depicted when possible or normalized to internal controls from at least three independent experiments, shown as quantitative values expressed as means ± SD or SEM, as indicated. Data were analyzed applying unpaired Student’s t test to compare quantitative data between two independent samples, unless otherwise specified. The Kaplan–Meier method was used for survival analysis. P < 0.05 were considered significant and denoted by *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, or ***P < 0.001.
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Fig. S3. PERK loss blocks PCa progression and decreases P-eIF2u expression.
Fig. S4. Loss of P-eIF2u activity by ISRIB shows no toxicity and does not substantially alter infiltrating immune cells.
Fig. S5. Inhibition of P-eIF2u activity by ISRIB does not affect human prostatic cell lines’ growth.
Fig. S6. PCA tissue from TMA shows specificity of protein expression in benign and tumor cells.
Fig. S7. Treatment with ISRIB or AT4 siRNA results in increased apoptosis within metastatic tumor.
Fig. S8. A PDX was generated to recapitulate mCRPC.
Table S1. MRI tumor volumes during treatment in GEMMs.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS
Study design
This study was designed to evaluate how two oncogenic lesions, which augment protein synthesis, cooperate in aggressive PCa and prevent metastatic dissemination at distal sites in mice treated with ISRIB (Fig. 6B). In addition, we confirmed a difference in metastatic progression in the liver by pathohistological analysis at time of euthanasia (Fig. 6D). Therefore, two independent PDX models of metastatic disease, one derived from a patient with early nodal metastasis (hormone-sensitive) and the second from a patient with castration-resistant PCas, demonstrated that blocking the activation of the adaptive brake on global protein synthesis via the P-eIF2α axis resulted in profound tumor regression and inhibition of metastatic dissemination.
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Development of a stress response therapy targeting aggressive prostate cancer
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Stressing out prostate cancer
As tumors grow, they undergo a variety of metabolic changes that facilitate their proliferation. Protein synthesis is one of the cellular processes that is altered in cancer cells, because its continued activation helps drive cancer growth. This is not a benign adaptation, however, and unchecked up-regulation of protein synthesis can be toxic to the cells because it promotes cellular stress. As Nguyen et al. discovered, prostate cancer cells with a specific combination of mutations can override this stress by activating a protein called eIF2α, which protects them from excessive protein synthesis. To target this pathway, the authors identified an inhibitor of eIF2α that blocks this protective mechanism and has therapeutic activity against aggressive and otherwise untreatable prostate cancer.